19th Annual Ethics, Professionalism, and Civility Workshop

Original Program Date: September 12, 2017
Available Media Types: Video and Audio MP3

REPORTING YOUR CREDIT
This recorded seminar was originally presented as the live seminar and webcast 19th Annual Ethics, Professionalism, and Civility Workshop, recorded on September 12, 2017, in Seattle, WA. If you attended the live seminar or webcast and reported CLE credits, you cannot also report credits from watching or listening to this recording.

DESCRIPTION
While ethics issues are a part of every attorney's practice, in the end you want to address the real-world application of the Rules of Professional Conduct. In this recorded seminar, a top-notch panel of experienced regional attorneys engage in lively debate on various ethics and risk management issues. Presented are a variety of hypothetical fact patterns and intriguing discussions that will foster far-reaching thought into what professional responsibility means within your practice.

Co-Chairs and Moderators:
Peter R. Jarvis – Holland & Knight, LLP, Portland, OR
David J. Elkanich – Holland & Knight, LLP, Portland, OR

Panelists:
Jeanne Marie Clavere – Washington State Bar Association, Seattle, WA
Judge Ronald Cox – Washington State Court of Appeals Division 1, Seattle, WA
Richard Goldfarb – Stoel Rives LLP, Seattle, WA
Amanda Lee – Law Office of Amanda Lee, Seattle, WA

AGENDA
1 Morning Session One
   - Personal conflicts of interest
   - Tricky client disclosures
   - Settlements gone sideways

2 Morning Session Two
   - Professionalism and addressing lawyer competency
   - Office sharing and conflicts
   - Client fraud and noisy withdrawal
   - Client confidentiality
   - Flat fees, value billing and modifying agreements
   - Social media and spoliation
3  Afternoon Session One
   • Litigation funding and crowdsourcing
   • Conflicts in closely held corporations
   • Former client conflicts
   • Confidentiality and privilege with third party consultants

4  Afternoon Session Two
   • Dishonesty and engaging in “covert” activity
   • Confidentiality and withdrawals
   • Conflicts of law: whose ethics rules apply?